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Presidents have statutory authority to set aside federal lands and waters to create national monuments to protect scientific, historic, cultural, and other resources. On April 26, 2017, the president issued Executive Order 13792, which directed the Secretary of the Interior to review previous monument designations made since January 1, 1996, and greater than 100,000 acres (or smaller designations where the Secretary determines there was inadequate outreach and coordination with “relevant stakeholders”), and to make recommendations for action, including revising and revoking protection, within 120 days.

On December 4, 2017, following receipt of the Secretary’s report, the president issued a proclamation reducing the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument in Utah by 862,000 acres, from its original area of nearly 1.8 million acres; and a separate proclamation reducing the size of Bears Ears National Monument from 1.35 million acres to 201,876 acres; thus releasing the excluded lands to mineral entry and multiple use management by the federal government.

**Background.**

Sixteen presidents, including Presidents Barack Obama and George W. Bush, have used their authority under the Antiquities Act of 1906 to create national monuments by “public proclamation.” 54 U.S.C. §320301. These proclamations set aside federally-owned lands and waters that contain “historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, and other objects of historic or scientific interest,” and protect these resources from incompatible activities such as mining, leasing, logging, grazing, collecting, commercial fishing, and other uses.

Under the Act, these monument reservations are to be the “smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected,” which courts nonetheless have recognized can include huge acreages. (The Grand Canyon was initially protected by one of the earliest national monument proclamations.) Many of the monument lands have later been added by Congress to legislatively-declared conservation classifications, such as National Parks.

**Areas to Watch**

Additional national monuments may be the subject of action, including:

- Cascade-Siskiyou (Oregon/California);
- Gold Butte (Nevada); and
- the marine national monuments—also undergoing review by NOAA.

Legislation addressing Bears Ears, Grand Staircase-Escalante, and the president’s Antiquities Act power is also under consideration, but may not move unless connected with other major legislation.

**Process.**

*Actions by the president.* The Antiquities Act authorizes designation of monuments by presidential proclamation, but provides no express authority for
No president has ever attempted to abolish a national monument by executive action, so there is no case law addressing a revocation. A 1938 Opinion of the Attorney General concluded that the president lacks legal authority to abolish a national monument, finding that the establishment of a monument in accordance with the Act is the one-way creation of a trust over the resources (“the president thereafter was without power to revoke . . . the reservation”).

On occasion, a president has diminished the size of an existing monument or changed the regulations governing uses on a monument, although this authority is in dispute. Unlike certain other statutes, the Antiquities Act does not expressly include a power to modify. The last diminution of an existing monument by executive action prior to the December 2017 actions occurred in 1963 under President Kennedy.

In general, presidential authority to diminish the area protected by a previous monument proclamation has been grounded on assertions that the area is no longer, per the Act, the “smallest area” compatible with protection of the monument’s objectives. The 1938 Attorney General opinion observed that the president can diminish the area of an existing national monument; however, the rationale for this part of the opinion has been questioned in view of several changes in the laws and precedents it relied on. Recent scholarship suggests that the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 removed any basis for subsequent diminishations by the executive.

Presidential proclamations frequently set out the specific incompatible activities that are prohibited or restricted within the monument area. There is no record of presidential removal or weakening of use restrictions imposed by a previous president. Presidents have added additional use restrictions to monument expansions. (In the Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument, created by President G.W. Bush in 2009 and expanded by President Obama in 2014, additional conservation restrictions were applied to the expansion area, but the original monument area remains under the prior restrictions.) Thus, the authority of a president to remove or weaken use restrictions is untested.

Actions by Congress. Congress, acting by legislation signed by the president, can reverse or modify any of these proclamations or withdrawals under the “property clause,” its plenary constitutional power to make all necessary rules and regulations respecting the territory and property of the United States. Congress can also affect the management of national monuments through the appropriations process, specifying limitations on management activities and/or prohibiting uses of federal funds for certain management activities.

In addition to recommending the downsizing of Bears Ears and Grand Staircase-Escalante, Interior Secretary Ryan Zinke’s review of 27 national monuments (released to the public on Dec. 9, 2017) included recommendations to the president to reduce the size of two additional terrestrial monuments (Gold Butte and Cascade-Siskiyou); and to change the management measures on Katahdin National Monument to support commercial timber management, on Rio Grande Del Norte to promote grazing access, and on Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks to add access and address national security/border security objectives. The Secretary also recommended changes in boundaries and/or authorized uses for several marine monuments, including Pacific Remote Islands and Northeast Canyons and Seamounts, to support more commercial fishing.

In December 2017, five lawsuits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia by Indian tribes and environmental organizations challenging the proclamations reducing the Bears Ears and Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monuments. The Justice Department has moved that the cases be transferred to Utah.

**Congress can modify or undo any designations** at any time by enacting legislation, and historically has terminated some national monuments by legislation. Congress has occasionally considered legislation that would strip presidents of their unilateral authority to create national monuments (e.g., S. 33, introduced Jan. 6, 2017), but these proposals have not been enacted.

**Opportunities for Public Engagement.**

The public and stakeholders may advocate to the White House and Congress about possible presidential or congressional action. Such appeals might involve:

- focusing on the values being protected;
- questioning precedent for an exercise of executive authority to revoke or diminish monuments; and
- the business case for continued protection of these areas, including outdoor recreation and related economic benefits from prior designations, as well as modeling potential harm from oil and gas impacts in the marine monument areas.

Citizen groups may also have litigation options, provided they can demonstrate injury resulting from any revocations or modifications, with special attention to issues of standing and ripeness (immediacy of the injury). The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) does not apply to acts of the president or to Congress, and itself provides no basis for challenging these actions. However, **NEPA (and other laws) will apply to agency adoption of management plans and decisions implementing** these proclamations.

Stakeholders may also focus attention on funding for the monument areas through the congressional appropriation process; many conservation actions that were once under attack (national parks, marine sanctuaries) eventually were supported by congressional endorsement or budget action.