# Table of Contents

## About the Author

## Acknowledgments

## Foreword

## Contents

### I. Overview

**Introduction**.......................................................................................................................... 1

**NEPA’s Purposes** ...................................................................................................................... 1

   - The First Objective: Preventing Environmental Damage ......................................................... 2
   - The Second Objective: Ensuring That Agency Decisionmakers Take Environmental Factors Into Account ............................................................................................................. 3

**Agency Responsibilities Under NEPA** ................................................................................... 4

   - CEQ......................................................................................................................................... 4
   - EPA ......................................................................................................................................... 5
   - Other Federal Agencies ........................................................................................................... 6
   - Numbers of Environmental Documents Prepared .................................................................... 7

### II. The Administrative Process Under NEPA

**Introduction**........................................................................................................................... 9

**Prestatement Procedures**......................................................................................................... 10

   - Procedures for Determining Whether an EIS Is Required..................................................... 10
   - Definitions of Terms Regarding When an EIS Is Required: Proposals for Major Federal Actions Significantly Affecting the Quality of the Human Environment ............................................................................................................. 11

**Scoping**.................................................................................................................................. 14

**Preparation of the Statement**.................................................................................................. 15

   - Who Prepares the EIS................................................................................................................ 15
   - The EIS................................................................................................................................... 16

**Commenting**............................................................................................................................ 22

   - Response to Comments and the Final EIS............................................................................. 22

**Post-Statement Procedures**...................................................................................................... 23

   - CEQ Referrals ......................................................................................................................... 23
   - Agency Decisionmaking and the Record of Decision ............................................................... 23
   - Agency Actions During the Pendency of an EIS .................................................................... 24

### III. Judicial Review

**Introduction**............................................................................................................................ 25

   - The Importance of Courts in the NEPA Process ................................................................. 25
Overview of the Judicial Process in NEPA Cases

The Complaint
Venue
Discovery
The Course of Litigation
Remedies
Preliminary Relief
Permanent Relief
Defenses.

Standards of Review of NEPA Cases

Failure to Prepare an EIS
Inadequacy of an EIS or EA
Other Nontrivial Violations of NEPA

Substantive Review of NEPA Actions

IV. Conclusion

Glossary

Appendices

Statutes

Appendix 1: National Environmental Protection Act
Appendix 2: Environmental Quality Improvement Act
Appendix 3: Clean Air Act §309
Appendix 4: State “Mini-NEPAs” and Other State Environmental Review Requirements

Executive Orders

Appendix 5: Executive Order 11514: Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality, as Amended by Executive Order 11991
Appendix 6: Executive Order 12114: Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions

Regulations

Appendix 7: Council on Environmental Quality NEPA Regulations
Appendix 8: NEPA Implementation Procedures, Appendices I, II, and III
Appendix 9: List of Agency NEPA Regulations and Procedures

Selected Agency NEPA Regulations and Procedures

Appendix 10: Department of Agriculture
Appendix 11: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
# Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Federal Energy Regulatory Commission</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Department of the Interior</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Preamble to Proposed CEQ NEPA Regulations</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Preamble to Final CEQ NEPA Regulations</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ’s NEPA Regulations</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Guidance Regarding NEPA Regulations</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>NEPA Regulations; Incomplete or Unavailable Information</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Application of NEPA to Proposed Federal Actions in the United States</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Designation of Non-Federal Agencies to Be Cooperative Agencies</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Identifying Non-Federal Cooperating Agencies</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Cooperating Agencies in Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Memorandum for Heads of Federal Departments and Agencies:</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improving the Process for Preparing Efficient and Timely Environmental Reviews Under the National Environmental Policy Act</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Memorandum for Heads of Federal Departments and Agencies:</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appropriate Use of Mitigation and Monitoring and Appropriate Use of Mitigated Findings of No Significant Impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Final Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on Establishing, Applying, and Revising Categorical Exclusions Under the National Environmental Policy Act</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Reporting Cooperating Agencies in Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Other Helpful CEQ Publications</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Policy and Procedures for the Review of Federal Actions Impacting the Environment</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Amended Environmental Impact Statement Filing System Guidance for Implementing 1506.9 and 1506.10 of the CEQ Regulations Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Abstracts of Selected Supreme Court Litigation Under NEPA</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Policy Guidance

**CEQ Guidance**

- Appendix 17: Preamble to Proposed CEQ NEPA Regulations 
- Appendix 18: Preamble to Final CEQ NEPA Regulations 
- Appendix 19: Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ’s NEPA Regulations 
- Appendix 20: Guidance Regarding NEPA Regulations 
- Appendix 21: NEPA Regulations; Incomplete or Unavailable Information 
- Appendix 22: Application of NEPA to Proposed Federal Actions in the United States With Transboundary Effects 
- Appendix 23: Designation of Non-Federal Agencies to Be Cooperative Agencies 
- Appendix 24: Identifying Non-Federal Cooperating Agencies 
- Appendix 25: Cooperating Agencies in Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA 
- Appendix 26: Memorandum for Heads of Federal Departments and Agencies: Improving the Process for Preparing Efficient and Timely Environmental Reviews Under the National Environmental Policy Act 
- Appendix 27: Memorandum for Heads of Federal Departments and Agencies: Appropriate Use of Mitigation and Monitoring and Appropriate Use of Mitigated Findings of No Significant Impact 
- Appendix 28: Final Guidance for Federal Departments and Agencies on Establishing, Applying, and Revising Categorical Exclusions Under the National Environmental Policy Act 
- Appendix 29: Reporting Cooperating Agencies in Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA 
- Appendix 30: Other Helpful CEQ Publications

**EPA Guidance**

- Appendix 31: Policy and Procedures for the Review of Federal Actions Impacting the Environment 
- Appendix 32: Amended Environmental Impact Statement Filing System Guidance for Implementing 1506.9 and 1506.10 of the CEQ Regulations Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA

**Other Helpful Materials**

- Appendix 33: Abstracts of Selected Supreme Court Litigation Under NEPA
Appendix 34: Model NEPA Complaint .................................................................579
Appendix 35: List of Agency NEPA Contacts....................................................585
Appendix 36: NEPA Documents Available......................................................599
About the Author

Nicholas C. Yost is a Partner at Dentons US LLP in San Francisco, California, from which he directs the firm’s practice of environmental and natural resources law with a focus on the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). His practice includes counseling clients on environmental leadership and compliance with state and federal environmental laws, obtaining permits and authorizations, litigation, and representing clients before federal and state agencies on environmental matters. Mr. Yost was General Counsel of the Counsel on Environmental Quality from 1977 until 1981, where he had lead responsibility for the development and drafting of NEPA’s implementing regulations. He has also served in the California Department of Justice, forming and heading the Environmental Section, as a private interest lawyer, as a visiting scholar at the Environmental Law Institute, and as a private practitioner in Washington, D.C. Mr. Yost holds an L.L.B. from the School of Law, University of California at Berkeley (Boalt Hall), and an A.B. from Princeton University’s Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs. He has chaired the Standing Committee on Environmental Law of the American Bar Association and the Committee on the Environment of the California State Bar, and has also co-chaired the Environment, Energy, and Natural Resources Section of the District of Columbia Bar. In 2010, he received the ABA’s Award for Distinguished Achievement in Environmental Law and Policy.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to acknowledge the contributions of James W. Rubin and Sarah Ratcliffe Choi, both with Dentons, and Kathleen Boergers, to earlier versions of this Deskbook, and that of Jessica Duggan, who assisted with the current appendices.
Foreword

The Environmental Law Institute (ELI) has a special interest in the National Environmental Policy Act that dates back to our shared birth date in December 1969. This Deskbook, now updated, is one of the most popular resources for environmental professionals in the United States and abroad. I was recently asked which statute I thought had the greatest impact on environmental law. Although there are many candidates for this honor, my own candidate is clear: NEPA. Not only did the statute revolutionize federal decisionmaking, but it has been replicated countless times in state statutes and exported to numerous foreign governments to guide their decisionmaking. We should always be proud of our nation’s contribution to the worldwide use of NEPA-like statutes to advance environmental action.

Over the years, the Environmental Law Institute and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) have cooperated in conference and research projects. We’ve watched the birth and application of NEPA, its inculcation into the fabric of the federal government’s decisionmaking process, and its journey through many courts, creating a nearly unparalleled body of environmental law. Without question, NEPA has been highly successful in assuring that environmental considerations are factored into federal planning and decisionmaking.

NEPA is a statute of productive dimensions because it impacts the relationships of the body politic. It clearly directs coordination among federal, state, municipal, and private agencies that would not occur otherwise. Even when there is gridlock elsewhere, NEPA has often acted as a consensus-building tool to provide real options that take into account environmental protection. It also empowers citizens to participate directly in environmental planning. In fact, NEPA has been the single most useful development in opening up federal agency decisionmaking to groups that do not have budgets or staff to investigate projects. Citizen groups find environmental impact statements (EISs) particularly useful because they include key information about projects in one, easily accessible document. And, decisionmakers rely on NEPA impact statements, knowing that they must be both comprehensive and balanced to be effective.

The brilliance of NEPA is that it calls for active citizen and interest group participation to forge consensus and wise environmental decisions. And, it provides a record in one place for key decisions. In this effort, there is much work for lawyers. The NEPA Deskbook is designed to assist practitioners by assembling the necessary legal materials in one place.

The introductory chapter of the Deskbook presents a detailed analysis of the statute by the leading U.S. expert on NEPA. As General Counsel of the CEQ from 1977 until 1981, Nicholas C. Yost led the successful effort to write regulations that put administrative flesh on the bare bones of the statute. This expert legal commentary is accompanied by the text of the statute, the regulations, key policy guidance that gives insight into NEPA’s implementation, and sample documents prepared under the statute. An especially useful feature of the Deskbook is its collection of summaries of U.S. Supreme Court cases prepared by the staff of ELI’s highly regarded Environmental Law Reporter (ELR).

Most of the lawsuits filed under NEPA have arisen from its statutory requirement that the lead agency file an EIS for each “major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.” These few words, each of them with independent meaning, have become the workhorse of environmental litigation, and lawyers play a key role in assuring compliance with its requirements. Knowledge of case law is especially essential in this area, and the Deskbook excels in presenting cases in a clear and understandable fashion.

As with all ELI Deskbooks, the NEPA Deskbook is an extremely valuable resource for a skilled practitioner. Keep it by your desk. Carry it to meetings. Our editors have carefully screened materials to include only the information environmental professionals need most.

The Deskbook series is a spinoff of ELR’s work in tracking and analyzing legislative, regulatory, and judicial developments. Companion Deskbooks—the Environmental Law Deskbook, the TSCA Deskbook, the Wetlands Deskbook, the RCRA Permitting Deskbook, the Environmental Crimes Deskbook, the Natural Resource Damage Assessment Deskbook, the Superfund Deskbook, and the Oil Pollution Deskbook—have drawn an enthusiastic response from environmental law practitioners.
As a national environmental research and publishing organization dedicated to the development of more effective and more efficient environmental protection efforts, ELI is pleased to present the fourth edition of the *NEPA Deskbook*. We hope that it will assist environmental lawyers and managers in their efforts to make this central and most important statute work to protect the environment.

— John C. Cruden, President
Environmental Law Institute