

The Clean Water Act and the Constitution

*Legal Structure and the Public's Right
to a Clean and Healthy Environment*

Second Edition

ROBIN KUNDIS CRAIG

ELI Press
ENVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE
Washington, D.C.

Permissions

Many of this book's chapters develop ideas that I first explored in law review articles, and parts of those articles appear in this book with the permission of the original publishers. Portions of:

Robin Kundis Craig, *Of Fish, Federal Dams, and State Protections: A State's Options Against the Federal Government for Dam-Related Fish Kills on the Columbia River*, 26 ENVTL. L. 355-91 (1996), appear by permission of *Environmental Law*, Lewis & Clark School of Law, Portland, Oregon.

Robin Kundis Craig, *Idaho Sporting Congress v. Thomas and Sovereign Immunity: Federal Facility Nonpoint Sources, the APA, and the Meaning of "In the Same Manner, and to the Same Extent as Any Nongovernmental Entity,"* 30 ENVTL. L. 527-59 (2000), appear by permission of *Environmental Law*, Lewis & Clark School of Law, Portland, Oregon.

Robin Kundis Craig, *Local or National? The Increasing Federalization of Nonpoint Source Pollution Regulation*, 15 J. ENVTL. L. & LITIG. 179-233 (2000), appear by permission of the *Journal of Environmental Law & Litigation*, University of Oregon School of Law, Eugene, Oregon.

Robin Kundis Craig, *Will Separation of Powers Challenge "Take Care" of Environmental Citizen Suits? Article II, Injury-in-Fact, Private, "Enforcers," and Lessons From Qui Tam Legislation*, 72 U. COLO. L. REV. 93 (2001) appear by permission of the *University of Colorado Law Review*, University of Colorado School of Law, Boulder, Colorado.

Robin Kundis Craig, *Navigating Federalism: The Missing Statutory Analysis in Solid Waste Agency*, 31 ENVTL. L. REP. (Envtl. L. Inst.) 10508 (May 2001), appear by permission of the author.

Robin Kundis Craig, *Beyond SWANCC: The New Federalism and Clean Water Act Jurisdiction*, 33 ENVTL. L. 113-59 (2003), appear by permission of *Environmental Law*, Lewis & Clark School of Law, Portland, Oregon.

Copyright © 2009, 2004

Environmental Law Institute

2000 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20036

Published April 2009.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing.

Copyright is not claimed as to any part of the original work prepared by a United States government officer or employee as part of that person's official duties.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 978-1-58576-138-8

*to Don,
with love and gratitude*

About the Author



Robin Kundis Craig

Robin Kundis Craig is the Attorneys' Title Insurance Fund Professor of Law and Co-Director of the Environmental and Land Use Law Program at the Florida State University College of Law in Tallahassee, Florida. She specializes in all things water, including the Clean Water Act, coastal water pollution, the intersection of water issues and land issues, marine biodiversity and marine protected areas, water law, and water and climate change. She is the author of *The Clean Water Act and the Constitution* (ELI 2004; 2d Ed. ELI 2009) and *Environmental Law in Context* (Thomson/West 2005; 2d Ed. 2008),

plus over 40 law review articles and book chapters. In addition, she recently completed work with the National Research Council's Committee on the Clean Water Act and the Mississippi River, which culminated in the October 2007 release of the Committee's report, *Mississippi River Water Quality and the Clean Water Act: Progress, Challenges, and Opportunities*, and with the Council's follow-up Committee, which issued its report on implementation of nutrient control measures in the Mississippi River Basin in November 2008. Professor Craig also serves as Chair of the American Bar Association's Constitutional Environmental Law Committee, as Vice Chair of its Marine Resources Committee, and as Supreme Court News Editor for the ABA's *Administrative & Regulatory Law News*. At Florida State, she teaches Environmental Law, Water Law, Florida Water Law, International Biodiversity Law, Administrative Law, Property, Civil Procedure, and seminars on Toxic Torts, the Environmental Intersection of Land and Sea, and the Clean Water Act, plus the Environmental and Land Use Law Certificate Seminar.

Contents

Acknowledgments	xiii
Introduction: Environmental Regulation and the Constitution	1
Part I: Imposing Federal Regulation and Enforcement	7
Chapter 1. The Clean Water Act’s “Cooperative Federalism” and the Federal/State Regulatory Balance	9
I. A Short History of Pre-1972 Federal Water Quality Regulation in the United States	10
A. <i>The RHA (Refuse Act)</i>	10
B. <i>The FWPCA of 1948</i>	12
C. <i>The Water Pollution Control Act Extension of 1952</i>	13
D. <i>Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1956</i>	13
E. <i>The FWPCA Amendments of 1961</i>	15
F. <i>Water Quality Act of 1965</i>	17
G. <i>Clean Water Restoration Act of 1966</i>	19
H. <i>Water and Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970</i>	20
I. <i>The 1970 Changes to the RHA: A Federal Permit Program for Water Pollution</i>	21
II. The 1972 Amendments and the Creation of the Contemporary CWA	22
III. Cooperative Federalism in the Current CWA	27
A. <i>Subchapter I: Research and Related Programs</i>	27
B. <i>Subchapter II: Grants for Construction of Treatment Works</i>	28
C. <i>Subchapter III: Standards and Enforcement</i>	29
1. The Elements of Federal Jurisdiction Under the Contemporary CWA	29
2. Federal Standard Setting	31
3. Retained State Authority Over Water Quality	32
D. <i>Subchapter IV: Permits and Licenses</i>	34
1. State Primacy Over Water Quality, Continued: Water Quality Certifications	34
2. The CWA’s Two Permit Programs	34
E. <i>Enforcement Under the CWA</i>	35
Chapter 2. The Supremacy Clause and Federal Preemption of State Water Quality Law	39
I. The Supremacy Clause and Federal Preemption of State Law	40
II. The CWA and Preemption of State Law	43
A. <i>The CWA’s “Saving” Clauses</i>	43
B. <i>Express Preemption in the CWA: Marine Sanitation Devices and Traditional Federal Authority Over Navigation and Vessel Requirements</i>	46
1. Section 312’s Express Preemption Provisions	46
2. Federal Preemption of Vessel Design in General	46

3. The Scope of §312's Preemption	48
4. The CWA and the PWSA: An Uneasy Tension Between Vessel Design and Pollution Control	49
C. <i>Supremacy Clause Ambiguity: Preemption of State and Private Remedies for Oil Spills</i>	51
D. <i>Implicit and Conflict Preemption in the CWA: Federal Preemption of Less Stringent State Regulation</i>	53
E. <i>Conflict Preemption, Federalism, and the Ambiguous Status of State Water Quality Standards in Interstate River Systems</i>	55
III. The Overall Effect of the Supremacy Clause on the CWA	57
Chapter 3. Interstate Water Pollution, Federal Common Law, and the Clean Water Act	59
I. Interstate Water Pollution in the Court Before 1972	60
A. <i>Missouri v. Illinois</i>	60
B. <i>Interstate Pollution Disputes, 1906–1972</i>	63
II. The CWA's Interstate Water Pollution Provisions	67
A. <i>Section 401</i>	67
B. <i>State-Issued CWA Permits and Interstate Water Quality</i>	69
C. <i>Interstate Citizen Suits</i>	70
III. The CWA and the Federal Common Law of Nuisance	70
IV. Interstate Water Pollution, the CWA, and State Common-Law Nuisance: <i>International Paper Co. v. Ouellette</i>	74
V. Complex Rivers: A Resurgence of Federal Authority?	74
Chapter 4. Sovereign Immunity and State Regulation of Federal Facilities and Tribes	77
I. The CWA, Federal Facilities, and Federal Sovereign Immunity	77
A. <i>Introduction</i>	77
B. <i>Federal Sovereign Immunity and State NPDES Permitting</i>	81
C. <i>The Scope of the Waiver of Sovereign Immunity From State Permitting Requirements</i>	84
D. <i>Federal Facility Liability for Civil Penalties Under State Programs</i>	85
E. <i>Civil Penalties, Government Enforcement, and Federal Facility Compliance With the CWA</i>	89
F. <i>Federal Facilities and State Water Quality Standards Outside the Point Source and Permitting Contexts</i>	92
II. Tribal Sovereign Immunity and State Regulation of Tribes	100
A. <i>Tribal Sovereign Immunity, Congress, and the CWA</i>	100
B. <i>Tribal Sovereign Immunity, the States, and the CWA</i>	100
C. <i>Congress' Emphasis on Tribal Sovereign Immunity: Treatment-as-a-State (TAS) Status</i>	105
Chapter 5. Limits on Federal Water Quality Regulation: The Tenth Amendment, the Commerce Clause, and Clean Water Act "Navigable Waters"	109
I. The Commerce Clause and the Tenth Amendment	110
II. The CWA's "Navigable Waters"	116
III. CWA "Navigable Waters" and the Commerce Clause	119
A. <i>Early Commerce Clause Evaluations of the CWA</i>	119
B. <i>United States v. Riverside Bayview Homes, Inc. and Adjacent Wetlands</i>	121

C. <i>Isolated Waters and the Commerce Clause Debate</i>	122
D. <i>The Court’s Statutory Interpretation, Part II</i>	125
E. <i>Federalism as a Mode of CWA Interpretation, 2001-2006</i>	128
F. <i>Rapanos v. United States: Federalism, Wetlands, and Congress’ Water Quality Goals</i>	131
G. <i>CWA Jurisdiction in the Lower Courts After Rapanos: Deciding Among the Justices</i>	138
IV. “Navigable Waters” and the Commerce Clause: What Is the Constitutional Limit of Congress’ Authority to Regulate Water Quality?	141
A. <i>The Channels of Interstate Commerce: The Oceans, Contiguous Zones, Territorial Seas, and Traditionally Navigable Waters</i>	141
B. <i>Non-Navigable Interstate Waters</i>	144
C. <i>Non-Navigable Intrastate Waters and the Substantial Relationship to Interstate Commerce</i>	144
Chapter 6. Limiting Federal and State Enforcement of the Clean Water Act: Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment “Takings” of Private Property	149
I. The History of the Regulatory Takings Doctrine.	149
II. Distinguishing Physical and Regulatory Takings	157
III. Regulatory “Takings” and the CWA	159
A. <i>Is the “Taking” Claim Ripe?</i>	160
B. <i>Is There a Lucas-Type Categorical “Taking”?</i>	161
C. <i>Is There a “Taking” Under the Penn Central Balancing Test?</i>	162
1. The Character of the Government Action	163
2. Interference With the Claimant’s Reasonable, Investment-Backed Expectations for the Property	163
3. Economic Impact of the Permit Denial	164
D. <i>Can There Be a Temporary “Taking”?</i>	165
IV. The Overall Effect of Fifth Amendment “Takings” on the CWA’s Regulatory Regime.	166
Part II: Imposition of Citizen Participation and Enforcements	169
Chapter 7. The Second Theme in Congress’ Restructuring of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act: The Addition of Citizen Participation and Citizen Suits	171
I. Citizen Participation in the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the Creation of the CWA’s Citizen Suit Provision	171
II. Later Amendments to the CWA’s Citizen Suit Provision	176
III. Bringing a CWA Citizen Suit: The Statutory Requirements	177
A. <i>Causes of Action</i>	177
B. <i>Jurisdiction and Venue</i>	181
C. <i>The Notice Requirement</i>	181
D. <i>The Gwaltney Requirement</i>	184
E. <i>Preclusion by State and Federal Enforcement</i>	186
IV. Citizen Suit Enforcement and the CWA	190
Chapter 8. Article III Separation of Powers, Standing, and the Rejection of a “Public Rights” Model of Environmental Citizen Suits	193
I. Article III and Federal Courts’ “Case or Controversy” Requirement	193

II. Environmental Standing and Citizen Suits	199
A. <i>Early Environmental Standing Cases</i>	199
B. <i>Sierra Club v. Morton and the Elimination of Privately Enforceable Public Environmental Rights.</i>	199
C. <i>Further Refinement of Environmental Standing Requirement in the 1990s.</i>	204
D. <i>Relaxing Injury-in-Fact Since 2000? Reasonable Fears, Climate Change, and Increased Risk in the Standing Analysis</i>	208
1. The 2000 Decision in <i>Laidlaw</i>	208
2. The Court's 2007 Standing Analysis in <i>Massachusetts v. EPA:</i> <i>Liberalization of Defenders of Wildlife or Special Standing for States?</i>	209
3. The Increased Risk Standing Trend.	213
III. Standing and CWA Litigation	214
Chapter 9. Citizen Suits Against the Federal Government and Tribes	219
I. The CWA's Waiver of Sovereign Immunity for Subsection (a)(1) Citizen Suits Against Federal Facilities That Are Violating the Act	219
A. <i>Section 505's Procedurally Limited Waiver of Sovereign Immunity.</i>	219
B. <i>Attempts to Evade §505's Limitations.</i>	221
C. <i>Civil Penalties in Citizen Suits Against the Federal Government</i>	222
II. Section 505's Waiver of Sovereign Immunity for Subsection (a)(1) Citizen Suits Against Tribes That Are Violating the CWA	226
III. The CWA's Waiver of Sovereign Immunity for Subsection (a)(2) Citizen Suits Against the EPA Administrator for Failure to Perform Nondiscretionary Duties.	228
A. <i>Suits Against the Administrator of EPA</i>	228
B. <i>Suits Against the Corps.</i>	229
Chapter 10. Citizen Suits Against States and Territories and the Eleventh Amendment	233
I. Congress' Attempt to Abrogate State Sovereign Immunity in the CWA and the U.S. Supreme Court's Eleventh Amendment Jurisprudence	233
II. CWA Citizen Suits Against States After <i>Seminole Tribe</i>	239
A. <i>Citizen Suits Against States and State Agencies.</i>	239
B. <i>CWA Citizen Suits Against State Officials: The Ex Parte Young Doctrine.</i>	241
C. <i>Cooperative Federalism as a Waiver of Eleventh Amendment Sovereign Immunity?</i>	245
III. CWA Citizen Suits Against Territories and the District of Columbia After <i>Seminole Tribe</i>	247
A. <i>Citizen Suits Against Territories</i>	247
1. Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	248
2. Virgin Islands	249
3. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands	250
4. The CNMI	251
5. Guam	252
6. American Samoa	254
B. <i>CWA Citizen Suits Against the District of Columbia</i>	254
IV. The Effects of <i>Seminole Tribe</i> on Citizen Suits Against States.	255
Chapter 11. Article II Separation of Powers and the President's Enforcement Right	257
I. Article II Separation-of-Powers Principles.	257

<i>A. Article II Separation of Powers and the “Take Care” Clause</i>	258
<i>B. Separation of Powers and the Appointments Clause</i>	261
II. Article II Separation-of-Powers Issues and Environmental Citizen Suits:	
Decisions to Date	264
<i>A. The “Take Care” Clause and Environmental Citizen Suits</i>	266
<i>B. The Appointments Clause and Environmental Citizen Suits</i>	269
<i>C. Do Citizen Suits Allow the Federal Courts and Congress to Usurp the Executive?</i>	270
III. Resolving Article II Separation-of-Powers Challenges to Environmental	
Citizen Suit Provisions	271
<i>A. The Qui Tam Comparison</i>	272
<i>B. Environmental Citizen Suits and Standing</i>	276
<i>C. Would Public Interest Citizen Suits Violate Article II Separation of Powers?</i>	277
Conclusion: Should There Be a Constitutional Right to a	
Clean/Healthy Environment?	281
I. The Importance of Citizen Suits to Environmental Enforcement	284
II. Constitutional Jurisprudence and Environmental Citizen Suit Litigation	291
III. Restoring Citizen Enforcement of Federal Environmental Law:	
Two Possible Solutions	292
<i>A. Adopt a Public Rights/Public Interest Approach to Citizen Litigation</i>	292
<i>B. Amend the Constitution</i>	293
IV. The Purely Structural Amendment	293
<i>A. Standing</i>	295
<i>B. Eleventh Amendment</i>	295
<i>C. Federal Sovereign Immunity</i>	296
<i>D. Article II Separation-of-Powers Concerns</i>	297
<i>E. Elimination of Commerce Clause Concerns</i>	297
<i>F. Balancing of Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment “Takings”</i>	297
<i>G. A Proposed Structural Amendment to the Constitution</i>	297
V. The Amendment Adding a Substantive Constitutional Right to a	
Clean and Healthy Environment	298
<i>A. Prior Litigation Indicates That Spontaneous Recognition of Environmental</i>	
<i>Rights in the Constitution Are Unlikely</i>	299
<i>B. Normative Arguments Favor a Constitutional Amendment Guaranteeing</i>	
<i>a Right to Sue, But Not Necessarily a Substantive Environmental Right</i>	300
1. The Law Generally Recognizes That Beneficiaries Should Have the	
Right to Sue	300
2. Constitutional Environmental Protections Are Becoming More Prevalent	
Among the Nations of the World, Indicating That the Environment Is	
Worthy of Constitution-Level Concern	302
3. Individual States Within the United States—the Vanguard of American	
Law—Are Also Increasingly Recognizing That the Environment Should	
Be a Constitutional Concern	304
VI. Conclusion	306

Acknowledgments

Many people have participated in the creation and completion of this book, making it a much better work than it might otherwise have been. In roughly chronological order, they include:

Paul Leoni, who spent countless hours as my research assistant at the Western New England College School of Law assembling a complete set of the legislative history of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the Clean Water Act.

John Turner, who e-mailed the fateful question: “Hey, have you ever thought about writing a book?”

Tara Storey, my research assistant at the Indiana University School of Law, Indianapolis, who found, assembled, and organized the federal cases discussing the numerous constitutional doctrines that this book covers.

Dean Norman Lefstein and Dean Tony Tarr, as well as the Indiana University School of Law Research Committee, who collectively approved two summer research grants that supported my work on this book.

Dean Tony Tarr, Associate Dean Susanah Mead, and Profs. Ken Chestek, Paul Cox, Kenneth Crews, Jennifer Drobac, Frank Emmert, Nicholas Georgakopoulos, Helen Grant, Jeffrey Grove, John Hill, Henry Karlson, Linda Kelly, Andrew Klein, Norm Lefstein, Maria Lopez, Gerard Magliocca, Antony Page, Florence Roisman, Joel Schumm, James Torke, and Lawrence Wilkins of the Indiana University School of Law, who attended the Faculty Colloquium in which I presented the overall argument of this book, and who sharpened my reasoning throughout this book with their insightful comments, particularly respecting the book’s conclusion.

Dean Tony Tarr, Associate Dean Susanah Mead, and Profs. Daniel Cole, Florence Roisman, and George Wright, who cooperated to allow me a research leave in spring 2004 so that I could finish the manuscript.

Profs. Daniel Cole and Andrew Klein at the Indiana University School of Law and Prof. J.B. Ruhl at the Florida State University College of Law, whose

willingness (even enthusiasm) to review the manuscript of this book and to offer cogent suggestions made the book you hold a much better work.

And, most especially, my husband Don Craig, who offered unconditional emotional support and kept me supplied with Diet Pepsi and excellent meals throughout the writing process, and who has continued in his unwaivering support through the Second Edition.